



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/653,201	08/31/2000	Whittle Bryan	00479.00013	4705
7590 09/08/2005		EXAMINER		
Banner & Witcoff Ltd 1001 G Street NW			MEKY, MOUSTAFA M	
Washington, D			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
.		•	2157	
			DATE MAILED: 09/08/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

'n								
		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		09/653,201	BRYAN ET AL.	BRYAN ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit					
	71 1141 NIA BATT (1)	Moustafa M. Meky	2157					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communica or Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence ac	ddress				
WHI(- Exte after - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAI nations of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuture to reply within the set or extended period for reply will reply received by the Office later than three months after ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF THIS COMMU 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may ication. ory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) N I, by statute, cause the application to become	NICATION. y a reply be timely filed MONTHS from the mailing date of this ce e ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 21 June 2005.						
· —		☐ This action is non-final.						
3)[Since this application is in condition for	r allowance except for formal m	atters, prosecution as to the	e merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice	under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 (D.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)	Claim(s) <u>27-46</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
·	Claim(s) <u>27-30,32-36,38-41,44 and 45</u>	•						
	Claim(s) <u>31,37,42,43 and 46</u> is/are obj							
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or election requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the B	Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a		•					
	Applicant may not request that any objection	<u>-, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	•					
111	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the			• •				
	The oath or declaration is objected to b	y the Examiner. Note the attack	ned Office Action or form P	10-152.				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:). § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
	1. Certified copies of the priority do							
	2. Certified copies of the priority do							
	 Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internationa 		en received in this National	Stage				
* 9	See the attached detailed Office action f		not received					
`	222 Me andoned detailed office deliciti	o. a not of the definied copies i	ot roomou.					
Attachmen	, ,	_						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO	4) Intervie	w Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date					
3) 🔲 Infor	re of Drausperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT or No(s)/Mail Date		of Informal Patent Application (PT	O-152)				
		· — -						

Application/Control Number: 09/653,201 Page 2

Art Unit: 2157

1. The amendment filed 6/21/2005 has been entered and considered by the examiner.

- 2. Claims 27-46 are presenting for examination.
- 3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 27-30, 32-36, 38-41, and 44-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Delaney (US Pat. No 6,937,574) which has the priority date of March 16, 1999.
- 5. As to claim 27, Delaney shows in Fig 1, a system for interconnecting a plurality of virtual private networks VPNs 20, the system comprising:
- * a plurality of virtual private networks VPNs 20, each of them inherently (actual VPN operating environment will typically include multiple service providers) having multiple service providers (not shown in the Fig), see col 6, lines 46-54;
 - at least one interconnect provider (NSP Network) 10 configured to connect the VPNs 20, see col 1, lines 56-57, col 6, lines 46-54;
 - a global overseer 16 inherently configured to ensure end-to-end service quality across
 VPNs 20 (the global 16 is an exchange point operator EPO that interconnects VPNs 20,
 therefore, the global 16 would follow the standards/metrics of the TEL-2 02.00
 publication in which the global 18A would ensure and satisfy end-to-end quality

Application/Control Number: 09/653,201

Art Unit: 2157

requirements across the two VPNs 12 & 14, see the Declaration under 1.132 filed by the applicant on 10/13/2004).

Page 3

- 6. As to claim 28, the end-to-end service quality across the VPNs 20 inherently includes maintaining minimum standards for cross network services, as part of the services of the the global 16 that follows the standards/metrics of the TEL-2 02.00 publication, see the Declaration under 1.132 filed by the applicant).
- 7. As to claim 29, the end-to-end service quality across the VPNs 20 inherently includes maintaining the minimum standards from a first subscriber of one VPN 20 to a second subscriber of another VPN 20 as part of the services of the the global 16 that follows the standards/metrics of the TEL-2 02.00 publication, see the Declaration under 1.132 filed by the applicant).
- 8. As to claim 30, the end-to-end service quality across the VPNs 20 inherently includes maintaining packet latency, as part of the services of the the global 16 that follows the standards/metrics of the TEL-2 02.00 publication, see the Declaration under 1.132 filed by the applicant).
- 9. As to claim 32, the end-to-end service quality across the VPNs 20 inherently includes maintaining an operable connection within a max. acceptable period of unavailability between a first subscriber of one VPN 20 to a second subscriber of a second VPN 20 as part of the services of the the global 16 that follows the standards/metrics of the TEL-2 02.00 publication, see the Declaration under 1.132 filed by the applicant).
- 10. As to claims 33 & 36 each VPN 20 inherently comprises a program overseer configured to ensure end-to-end service quality across its corresponding VPN and to resolve disputes

Application/Control Number: 09/653,201 Page 4

Art Unit: 2157

between providers within its VPN that follows the standards/metrics of the TEL-2 02.00 publication, see the Declaration under 1.132 filed by the applicant.

- 11. As to claims 34-35, the global overseer 16 is inherently configured to resolve disputes between ones of program oversees and between one of the program overseer and the interconnect provider 10 that follows the standards/metrics of the TEL-2 02.00 publication, see the Declaration under 1.132 filed by the applicant.
- 12. As to claim 38, the interconnect provider 10 includes interconnect providers 12 to service different VPNs 20.
- 13. As to claims 39-40, wherein the end-to-end quality inherently includes a quantified end-to-end service quality level that follows the standards/metrics of the TEL-2 02.00 publication, see the Declaration under 1.132 filed by the applicant.
- 14. As to claim 41, the claim is similar in scope to claim 27, and it is rejected under the same rationale.
- 15. As to claim 44, providing one exchange point 12 between the first set of providers within one VPN 20 and the interconnect provider 10, see Fig 1.
- 16. As to claim 45, providing another exchange point 12 between the second set of providers within another VPN 12 and the interconnect provider 10, see Fig 1.

Therefore, it can be seen from paragraphs 5-16 that Delaney anticipates claims 27-30, 32-36, 38-41, and 44-45.

Application/Control Number: 09/653,201 Page 5

Art Unit: 2157

17. Claims 31, 37, 42-43, and 46 are dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

- 17.1. The prior art of record does not teach:
 - end-to-end service quality across multiple ones of the plurality of VPNs includes
 maintaining the number of multiple service providers below a maximum acceptable
 number between a first subscriber of one VPN to a second subscriber of another VPN
 (claim 31);
 - pays a fee to the global overseer for maintaining the global overseer and the interconnect
 provider pays a fee to the global overseer fro certification (claim 37);
 - the interconnect provider is also one of the multiple service providers within at least one of the VPNs (claim 42);
 - the step of certifying the at least one interconnect provider by the global overseer (claim
 43); and
 - a maximum number of the multiple service providers between two of the subscribers is two and the maximum number of the multiple service providers and the interconnect provider between subscribers of different VPNs is three (claim 46).
- 18. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

Page 6

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

final action.

19. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Moustafa M Meky whose telephone number is 571-272-4005. The examiner can normally be reached on flex.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ario Etienne can be reached on 571-272-4001. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MMM 9/4/2005 Monstyf M. Mely